

# Building Tennessee's Tomorrow:

## Anticipating the State's Infrastructure Needs

July 2002 through June 2007

---

### Appendix D: Reported Infrastructure Needs by County

Table D-1a	Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County .....	59
Table D-1b	Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County and by Stage of Development .....	61
Table D-2a	Transportation Projects by County .....	64
Table D-2b	Transportation Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	66
Table D-3a	Other Utility Projects by County .....	69
Table D-3b	Other Utility Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	70
Table D-4a	Navigation Projects by County .....	71
Table D-4b	Navigation Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	71
Table D-5a	Telecommunications Projects by County .....	72
Table D-5b	Telecommunications Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	73
Table D-6	Improvement Projects at Existing Schools by County .....	74
Table D-7a	New Public School Construction Projects by County .....	76
Table D-7b	New Public School Construction Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	78
Table D-8a	Non-K-12 Education Projects by County .....	80
Table D-8b	Non-K-12 Education Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	81
Table D-9a	School System-wide Needs Projects by County .....	83

Table D-9b	Public School System-wide Needs Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	84
Table D-10a	Water and Wastewater Projects by County .....	85
Table D-10b	Water and Wastewater Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	87
Table D-11a	Law Enforcement Projects by County .....	90
Table D-11b	Law Enforcement Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	92
Table D-12a	Storm Water Projects by County .....	94
Table D-12b	Storm Water Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	95
Table D-13a	Solid Waste Projects by County .....	97
Table D-13b	Solid Waste Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	98
Table D-14a	Fire Protection Projects by County .....	100
Table D-14b	Fire Protection Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	102
Table D-15a	Public Health Facility Projects by County .....	104
Table D-15b	Public Health Facility Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	105
Table D-16a	Housing Projects by County .....	107
Table D-16b	Housing Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	108
Table D-17a	Recreation Projects by County .....	109
Table D-17b	Recreation Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	111
Table D-18a	Libraries and Museums Projects by County .....	114
Table D-18b	Libraries and Museums Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	115
Table D-19a	Community Development Projects by County .....	117

Table D-19b	Community Development Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	119
Table D-20a	Business District Development Projects by County .....	121
Table D-20b	Business District Development Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	122
Table D-21a	Industrial Sites and Parks Projects by County .....	124
Table D-21b	Industrial Sites and Parks Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	126
Table D-22a	Public Building Projects by County .....	129
Table D-22b	Public Building Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	131
Table D-23a	Other Facilities Projects by County .....	133
Table D-23b	Other Facilities Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	134
Table D-24a	Property Acquisition Projects by County .....	135
Table D-24b	Property Acquisition Projects by County and by Stage of Development .....	135



**Table D-1a. Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County**

Number and Estimated Cost -- Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007

County	Number of Schools or Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total	Cost Per Capita	2001 Population
Anderson	96	\$ 106,705,063	0.5%	\$ 1,493	71,457
Bedford	67	192,325,000	0.9%	\$ 5,018	38,327
Benton	14	6,105,164	0.0%	\$ 367	16,616
Bledsoe	28	94,770,000	0.4%	\$ 7,572	12,516
Blount	121	281,446,418	1.3%	\$ 2,599	108,270
Bradley	120	186,783,050	0.9%	\$ 2,102	88,850
Campbell	57	107,252,549	0.5%	\$ 2,678	40,048
Cannon	32	40,594,181	0.2%	\$ 3,136	12,946
Carroll	54	26,068,388	0.1%	\$ 883	29,538
Carter	83	150,899,748	0.7%	\$ 2,651	56,927
Cheatham	69	128,076,500	0.6%	\$ 3,504	36,552
Chester	29	42,169,000	0.2%	\$ 2,684	15,711
Claiborne	38	122,140,008	0.6%	\$ 4,052	30,146
Clay	10	45,430,000	0.2%	\$ 5,738	7,918
Cocke	52	62,879,000	0.3%	\$ 1,856	33,884
Coffee	68	192,428,997	0.9%	\$ 3,954	48,667
Crockett	15	14,084,000	0.1%	\$ 968	14,547
Cumberland	63	297,654,000	1.4%	\$ 6,194	48,058
Davidson	555	3,216,940,250	14.9%	\$ 5,690	565,352
Decatur	29	38,175,567	0.2%	\$ 3,264	11,697
DeKalb	30	121,597,782	0.6%	\$ 6,928	17,552
Dickson	48	370,603,150	1.7%	\$ 8,453	43,843
Dyer	39	45,294,981	0.2%	\$ 1,220	37,121
Fayette	45	50,469,200	0.2%	\$ 1,653	30,536
Fentress	26	55,680,000	0.3%	\$ 3,313	16,805
Franklin	51	106,217,655	0.5%	\$ 2,671	39,770
Gibson	63	102,025,756	0.5%	\$ 2,124	48,031
Giles	43	65,164,928	0.3%	\$ 2,196	29,675
Grainger	29	48,099,600	0.2%	\$ 2,298	20,934
Greene	82	126,614,252	0.6%	\$ 1,997	63,388
Grundy	32	29,680,400	0.1%	\$ 2,077	14,288
Hamblen	60	125,277,852	0.6%	\$ 2,147	58,337
Hamilton	268	1,032,708,355	4.8%	\$ 3,360	307,377
Hancock	20	12,505,888	0.1%	\$ 1,848	6,768
Hardeman	70	85,938,000	0.4%	\$ 3,030	28,361
Hardin	45	114,945,851	0.5%	\$ 4,457	25,791
Hawkins	88	124,771,278	0.6%	\$ 2,295	54,370
Haywood	34	55,846,000	0.3%	\$ 2,826	19,761
Henderson	59	122,295,519	0.6%	\$ 4,753	25,732
Henry	27	40,259,318	0.2%	\$ 1,295	31,083
Hickman	26	187,444,000	0.9%	\$ 8,243	22,740
Houston	26	58,487,000	0.3%	\$ 7,388	7,916
Humphreys	44	125,208,112	0.6%	\$ 6,912	18,114
Jackson	31	109,861,400	0.5%	\$ 9,842	11,162
Jefferson	48	58,319,441	0.3%	\$ 1,294	45,070
Johnson	41	38,266,532	0.2%	\$ 2,170	17,638
Knox	293	1,089,111,912	5.1%	\$ 2,825	385,572
Lake	11	3,236,000	0.0%	\$ 417	7,764

Table D-1a. (continued)

County	Number of Schools or Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total	Cost Per Capita	2001 Population
Lauderdale	14	20,662,000	0.1%	\$ 765	27,021
Lawrence	55	93,045,667	0.4%	\$ 2,326	40,003
Lewis	15	13,468,000	0.1%	\$ 1,178	11,437
Lincoln	44	61,835,000	0.3%	\$ 1,956	31,616
Loudon	63	118,004,008	0.5%	\$ 2,933	40,240
McMinn	77	217,710,100	1.0%	\$ 4,367	49,857
McNairy	90	140,798,062	0.7%	\$ 5,713	24,644
Macon	30	66,941,500	0.3%	\$ 3,207	20,873
Madison	153	418,236,160	1.9%	\$ 4,527	92,389
Marion	52	78,674,115	0.4%	\$ 2,835	27,750
Marshall	69	83,757,000	0.4%	\$ 3,090	27,106
Mauzy	74	139,279,311	0.6%	\$ 1,979	70,376
Meigs	22	72,022,375	0.3%	\$ 6,434	11,194
Monroe	50	41,644,543	0.2%	\$ 1,045	39,846
Montgomery	169	465,191,802	2.2%	\$ 3,445	135,023
Moore	7	23,271,000	0.1%	\$ 3,953	5,887
Morgan	32	36,422,000	0.2%	\$ 1,821	20,003
Obion	45	34,439,000	0.2%	\$ 1,065	32,346
Overton	24	41,431,626	0.2%	\$ 2,052	20,186
Perry	15	18,882,000	0.1%	\$ 2,516	7,504
Pickett	15	15,198,000	0.1%	\$ 3,011	5,048
Polk	34	307,240,250	1.4%	\$ 18,935	16,226
Putnam	83	257,377,612	1.2%	\$ 4,073	63,188
Rhea	33	42,384,900	0.2%	\$ 1,482	28,608
Roane	94	124,043,973	0.6%	\$ 2,384	52,033
Robertson	71	226,833,900	1.1%	\$ 4,045	56,083
Rutherford	195	842,515,686	3.9%	\$ 4,431	190,143
Scott	40	60,065,000	0.3%	\$ 2,787	21,548
Sequatchie	18	62,133,750	0.3%	\$ 5,349	11,616
Sevier	127	432,527,049	2.0%	\$ 5,869	73,703
Shelby	771	3,870,086,114	18.0%	\$ 4,319	896,013
Smith	53	88,157,500	0.4%	\$ 4,901	17,988
Stewart	27	77,599,000	0.4%	\$ 6,134	12,650
Sullivan	232	406,155,497	1.9%	\$ 2,658	152,787
Sumner	171	554,650,513	2.6%	\$ 4,129	134,336
Tipton	47	41,542,112	0.2%	\$ 784	52,956
Trousdale	20	36,495,000	0.2%	\$ 4,969	7,345
Unicoi	63	61,662,025	0.3%	\$ 3,481	17,713
Union	22	49,660,615	0.2%	\$ 2,697	18,414
Van Buren	16	33,056,000	0.2%	\$ 6,035	5,477
Warren	55	204,719,900	0.9%	\$ 5,308	38,565
Washington	131	332,302,385	1.5%	\$ 3,066	108,380
Wayne	36	22,847,696	0.1%	\$ 1,356	16,845
Weakley	51	36,950,952	0.2%	\$ 1,067	34,644
White	25	37,264,000	0.2%	\$ 1,595	23,364
Williamson	245	736,222,999	3.4%	\$ 5,501	133,825
Wilson	81	494,616,325	2.3%	\$ 5,394	91,696
Areawide/Statewide	16	60,930,234	0.3%	\$ 11	5,740,021
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>7,151</b>	<b>\$ 21,559,811,301</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 3,756</b>	<b>5,740,021</b>

**Table D-1b. Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County and by Stage of Development**

*Number and Estimated Cost -- Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007*

County	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	33	40.7%	\$ 25.1	31.7%	25	30.9%	\$ 18.3	23.2%	23	28.4%	\$ 35.7	45.1%
Bedford	35	53.8%	95.0	53.6%	25	38.5%	75.9	42.8%	5	7.7%	6.3	3.6%
Benton	2	28.6%	1.2	21.5%	3	42.9%	1.8	33.1%	2	28.6%	2.4	45.4%
Bledsoe	18	72.0%	45.7	50.0%	5	20.0%	25.7	28.2%	2	8.0%	20.0	21.9%
Blount	47	45.6%	182.0	65.3%	32	31.1%	55.3	19.8%	24	23.3%	41.3	14.8%
Bradley	32	32.7%	33.7	21.7%	61	62.2%	98.0	63.2%	5	5.1%	23.4	15.1%
Campbell	22	41.5%	60.8	56.9%	23	43.4%	38.5	36.0%	8	15.1%	7.6	7.1%
Cannon	7	28.0%	3.7	12.3%	7	28.0%	0.8	2.7%	11	44.0%	25.2	85.0%
Carroll	22	55.0%	8.9	36.3%	12	30.0%	6.9	28.2%	6	15.0%	8.7	35.5%
Carter	31	42.5%	95.5	63.8%	30	41.1%	44.6	29.8%	12	16.4%	9.6	6.4%
Cheatham	27	48.2%	74.2	58.2%	16	28.6%	32.0	25.1%	13	23.2%	21.3	16.7%
Chester	10	38.5%	13.2	31.4%	15	57.7%	23.3	55.5%	1	3.8%	5.5	13.1%
Claiborne	11	28.9%	22.1	18.1%	15	39.5%	40.0	32.7%	12	31.6%	60.1	49.2%
Clay	3	37.5%	1.4	3.3%	3	37.5%	32.5	79.4%	2	25.0%	7.1	17.2%
Cocke	33	75.0%	43.3	80.8%	7	15.9%	4.6	8.6%	4	9.1%	5.7	10.6%
Coffee	26	53.1%	72.6	43.9%	12	24.5%	22.8	13.8%	11	22.4%	69.8	42.2%
Crockett	8	66.7%	6.2	45.2%	2	16.7%	0.6	4.0%	2	16.7%	7.0	50.8%
Cumberland	32	56.1%	76.2	25.8%	10	17.5%	129.9	44.0%	15	26.3%	89.1	30.2%
Davidson	102	23.6%	577.8	19.4%	159	36.8%	804.2	27.0%	171	39.6%	1,601.6	53.7%
Decatur	14	50.0%	9.3	24.3%	12	42.9%	28.3	74.2%	2	7.1%	0.6	1.5%
DeKalb	13	50.0%	16.9	14.1%	1	3.8%	25.0	20.8%	12	46.2%	78.3	65.1%
Dickson	28	62.2%	318.9	86.2%	11	24.4%	12.1	3.3%	6	13.3%	39.1	10.6%
Dyer	18	64.3%	24.2	53.9%	8	28.6%	20.3	45.2%	2	7.1%	0.4	1.0%
Fayette	30	81.1%	31.2	62.2%	7	18.9%	19.0	37.8%	0	0.0%	-	0.0%
Fentress	17	77.3%	31.2	21.1%	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	5	22.7%	116.5	78.9%
Franklin	21	42.0%	60.1	57.5%	18	36.0%	12.7	12.2%	11	22.0%	31.8	30.3%
Gibson	30	61.2%	24.7	24.8%	14	28.6%	70.8	71.1%	5	10.2%	4.1	4.1%
Giles	17	39.5%	34.7	53.3%	17	39.5%	22.7	34.9%	9	20.9%	7.7	11.9%
Grainger	12	52.2%	35.2	74.9%	6	26.1%	8.0	17.0%	5	21.7%	3.8	8.1%
Greene	29	48.3%	36.0	43.0%	17	28.3%	8.2	9.8%	14	23.3%	39.4	47.1%
Grundy	15	60.0%	17.3	77.9%	9	36.0%	4.8	21.8%	1	4.0%	0.1	0.3%
Hamblen	17	42.5%	53.5	43.2%	15	37.5%	32.2	26.0%	8	20.0%	38.0	30.8%
Hamilton	58	29.3%	226.8	22.8%	128	64.6%	666.7	67.1%	12	6.1%	100.2	10.1%
Hancock	9	45.0%	6.7	53.7%	9	45.0%	4.8	38.6%	2	10.0%	1.0	7.7%
Hardeman	28	45.9%	45.8	53.7%	31	50.8%	39.0	45.7%	2	3.3%	0.5	0.6%
Hardin	20	54.1%	7.0	6.2%	13	35.1%	102.7	91.1%	4	10.8%	3.0	2.7%

Table D-1b. (continued)

County	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Hawkins	42	57.5%	76.9	67.8%	23	31.5%	22.8	20.1%	8	11.0%	13.7	12.0%
Haywood	12	40.0%	18.5	35.8%	12	40.0%	24.5	47.5%	6	20.0%	8.7	16.7%
Henderson	21	40.4%	19.5	16.2%	24	46.2%	73.5	61.2%	7	13.5%	27.2	22.6%
Henry	13	61.9%	32.0	87.3%	6	28.6%	3.9	10.6%	2	9.5%	0.8	2.1%
Hickman	18	69.2%	176.3	94.0%	7	26.9%	9.7	5.2%	1	3.8%	1.5	0.8%
Houston	18	75.0%	56.5	97.1%	6	25.0%	1.7	2.9%	0	0.0%	-	0.0%
Humphreys	22	57.9%	87.4	70.1%	14	36.8%	37.0	29.7%	2	5.3%	0.3	0.2%
Jackson	21	87.5%	12.6	87.2%	2	8.3%	1.4	9.3%	1	4.2%	0.5	3.5%
Jefferson	23	51.1%	33.9	58.7%	15	33.3%	15.7	27.1%	7	15.6%	8.2	14.2%
Johnson	24	64.9%	20.0	55.0%	13	35.1%	16.3	45.0%	0	0.0%	-	0.0%
Knox	97	46.9%	454.0	48.7%	57	27.5%	211.5	22.7%	53	25.6%	265.9	28.5%
Lake	6	75.0%	1.7	55.4%	1	12.5%	0.1	4.4%	1	12.5%	1.2	40.3%
Lauderdale	11	78.6%	16.9	81.6%	3	21.4%	3.8	18.4%	0	0.0%	-	0.0%
Lawrence	22	42.3%	51.8	57.2%	22	42.3%	32.0	35.3%	8	15.4%	6.8	7.5%
Lewis	13	86.7%	13.0	96.3%	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	2	13.3%	0.5	3.7%
Lincoln	20	46.5%	12.9	20.9%	16	37.2%	21.5	34.8%	7	16.3%	27.4	44.3%
Loudon	21	38.9%	23.2	20.5%	21	38.9%	49.2	43.5%	12	22.2%	40.8	36.1%
McMinn	39	60.0%	137.8	68.0%	19	29.2%	53.9	26.6%	7	10.8%	10.9	5.4%
McNairy	46	56.1%	28.3	20.2%	25	30.5%	12.7	9.1%	11	13.4%	99.2	70.7%
Macon	10	45.5%	20.1	30.9%	4	18.2%	28.2	43.3%	8	36.4%	16.9	25.9%
Madison	85	65.9%	290.5	70.5%	34	26.4%	97.8	23.7%	10	7.8%	23.9	5.8%
Marion	24	53.3%	28.5	42.8%	18	40.0%	22.1	33.3%	3	6.7%	15.9	23.9%
Marshall	24	38.7%	40.8	49.3%	28	45.2%	21.7	26.3%	10	16.1%	20.1	24.4%
Maurry	33	45.2%	81.3	58.4%	26	35.6%	30.7	22.1%	14	19.2%	27.1	19.5%
Meigs	8	44.4%	12.4	17.5%	7	38.9%	17.7	24.8%	3	16.7%	41.0	57.7%
Monroe	14	38.9%	10.1	25.3%	16	44.4%	13.7	34.4%	6	16.7%	16.1	40.4%
Montgomery	51	31.3%	194.2	43.9%	57	35.0%	136.4	30.8%	55	33.7%	111.8	25.3%
Moore	6	85.7%	22.9	98.4%	1	14.3%	0.4	1.6%	0	0.0%	-	0.0%
Morgan	18	72.0%	19.9	65.3%	2	8.0%	2.2	7.4%	5	20.0%	8.3	27.3%
Obion	28	80.0%	27.5	84.5%	6	17.1%	3.3	10.2%	1	2.9%	1.7	5.3%
Overton	13	68.4%	9.7	26.1%	3	15.8%	4.0	10.7%	3	15.8%	23.5	63.1%
Perry	6	40.0%	6.2	32.6%	5	33.3%	6.8	35.9%	4	26.7%	6.0	31.5%
Pickett	8	61.5%	2.8	19.9%	3	23.1%	5.8	41.1%	2	15.4%	5.5	39.0%
Polk	18	64.3%	289.0	95.3%	8	28.6%	5.1	1.7%	2	7.1%	9.2	3.0%
Putnam	53	73.6%	148.2	59.4%	5	6.9%	18.6	7.4%	14	19.4%	82.6	33.1%
Rhea	18	64.3%	30.5	80.2%	8	28.6%	5.8	15.2%	2	7.1%	1.8	4.6%



Table D-1b. (continued)

County	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Roane	48	56.5%	65.6	48.7%	21	24.7%	33.5	24.9%	16	18.8%	35.6	26.4%
Robertson	33	46.5%	169.2	74.6%	22	31.0%	34.0	15.0%	16	22.5%	23.6	10.4%
Rutherford	74	46.0%	474.7	58.0%	47	29.2%	184.6	22.6%	40	24.8%	158.8	19.4%
Scott	11	35.5%	13.1	31.9%	14	45.2%	14.3	34.7%	6	19.4%	13.7	33.3%
Sequatchie	7	43.8%	2.8	4.6%	8	50.0%	7.2	12.0%	1	6.3%	50.0	83.4%
Sevier	65	61.9%	279.5	68.0%	27	25.7%	82.5	20.1%	13	12.4%	49.1	11.9%
Shelby	107	19.4%	458.8	16.1%	284	51.5%	1,246.1	43.8%	160	29.0%	1,139.0	40.1%
Smith	22	48.9%	21.4	24.4%	10	22.2%	13.9	15.9%	13	28.9%	52.3	59.7%
Stewart	16	64.0%	59.7	77.0%	8	32.0%	17.7	22.9%	1	4.0%	0.1	0.1%
Sullivan	89	48.1%	132.3	38.6%	62	33.5%	124.0	36.2%	34	18.4%	86.6	25.3%
Sumner	76	55.1%	332.3	61.0%	40	29.0%	148.9	27.4%	22	15.9%	63.1	11.6%
Tipton	30	88.2%	31.8	78.9%	2	5.9%	8.3	20.6%	2	5.9%	0.2	0.5%
Trousdale	10	55.6%	16.9	46.4%	6	33.3%	8.0	22.0%	2	11.1%	11.5	31.6%
Unicoi	34	59.6%	31.7	52.7%	14	24.6%	26.6	44.3%	9	15.8%	1.9	3.1%
Union	10	62.5%	46.3	97.0%	4	25.0%	0.9	1.8%	2	12.5%	0.6	1.2%
Van Buren	10	66.7%	13.8	42.4%	4	26.7%	18.7	57.3%	1	6.7%	0.1	0.3%
Warren	21	46.7%	120.7	60.2%	16	35.6%	53.8	26.8%	8	17.8%	26.1	13.0%
Washington	62	56.9%	249.9	77.6%	33	30.3%	47.9	14.9%	14	12.8%	24.5	7.6%
Wayne	14	48.3%	12.6	59.3%	9	31.0%	7.3	34.5%	6	20.7%	1.3	6.2%
Weakley	40	88.9%	25.1	70.4%	1	2.2%	5.0	14.0%	4	8.9%	5.6	15.6%
White	13	61.9%	24.3	66.8%	1	4.8%	0.3	0.8%	7	33.3%	11.8	32.3%
Williamson	114	53.8%	416.9	57.6%	51	24.1%	140.8	19.5%	47	22.2%	165.7	22.9%
Wilson	38	53.5%	293.0	59.4%	12	16.9%	66.6	13.5%	21	29.6%	133.4	27.1%
Regional	16	100.0%	60.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>2,743</b>	<b>46.6%</b>	<b>\$ 8,278.7</b>	<b>42.2%</b>	<b>1,991</b>	<b>33.8%</b>	<b>\$ 5,835.4</b>	<b>29.8%</b>	<b>1,151</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>\$ 5,491.0</b>	<b>28.0%</b>

\* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-2a. Transportation Projects by County***Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\***—Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007\*\**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	15	\$ 15,230,931	0.2%	80.4%	\$ 213
Bedford	14	52,099,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 1,359
Bledsoe	4	29,090,000	0.4%	85.9%	\$ 2,324
Blount	42	49,721,860	0.6%	52.5%	\$ 459
Bradley	39	80,632,750	1.0%	38.4%	\$ 908
Campbell	13	28,979,577	0.4%	2.3%	\$ 724
Cannon	9	4,137,800	0.1%	48.3%	\$ 320
Carroll	17	6,474,056	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 219
Carter	21	40,104,500	0.5%	73.4%	\$ 704
Cheatham	21	83,385,000	1.0%	0.8%	\$ 2,281
Chester	12	20,562,000	0.3%	77.3%	\$ 1,309
Claiborne	11	42,829,633	0.5%	5.8%	\$ 1,421
Clay	4	37,050,000	0.5%	32.4%	\$ 4,679
Cocke	21	21,359,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 630
Coffee	9	52,122,000	0.6%	1.9%	\$ 1,071
Crockett	6	3,484,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 239
Cumberland	21	124,534,000	1.5%	86.2%	\$ 2,591
Davidson	142	732,054,254	9.0%	97.1%	\$ 1,295
Decatur	4	15,975,567	0.2%	25.0%	\$ 1,366
DeKalb	6	90,700,000	1.1%	82.7%	\$ 5,168
Dickson	24	305,917,000	3.8%	0.0%	\$ 6,978
Dyer	7	2,331,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 63
Fayette	20	12,712,500	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 416
Fentress	6	42,600,000	0.5%	99.8%	\$ 2,535
Franklin	4	3,222,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 81
Gibson	22	69,954,348	0.9%	82.2%	\$ 1,456
Giles	10	14,691,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 495
Grainger	1	3,000,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 143
Greene	14	25,126,702	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 396
Grundy	5	2,865,000	0.0%	4.7%	\$ 201
Hamblen	9	19,487,314	0.2%	83.1%	\$ 334
Hamilton	91	325,252,545	4.0%	81.1%	\$ 1,058
Hancock	8	3,572,888	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 528
Hardeman	28	66,403,000	0.8%	65.1%	\$ 2,341
Hardin	9	88,519,726	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 3,432
Hawkins	23	36,966,800	0.5%	6.2%	\$ 680
Haywood	7	37,832,000	0.5%	18.5%	\$ 1,914
Henderson	20	93,293,519	1.2%	40.7%	\$ 3,626
Henry	8	4,456,000	0.1%	1.3%	\$ 143
Hickman	4	122,853,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 5,403
Houston	6	48,285,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 6,100
Humphreys	14	83,738,112	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 4,623
Jackson	12	91,418,000	1.1%	99.1%	\$ 8,190
Jefferson	7	19,017,000	0.2%	52.6%	\$ 422
Johnson	6	3,769,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 214
Knox	52	141,754,103	1.8%	63.2%	\$ 368
Lauderdale	5	1,694,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 63
Lawrence	14	16,587,363	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 415

Table D-2a. (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Lewis	3	2,400,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 210
Lincoln	8	4,905,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 155
Loudon	9	19,461,000	0.2%	10.8%	\$ 484
McMinn	18	160,525,000	2.0%	64.9%	\$ 3,220
McNairy	22	103,153,062	1.3%	47.6%	\$ 4,186
Macon	10	37,369,000	0.5%	97.7%	\$ 1,790
Madison	40	265,363,760	3.3%	84.4%	\$ 2,872
Marion	10	29,475,315	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 1,062
Marshall	5	7,394,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 273
Mauri	15	17,919,111	0.2%	78.7%	\$ 255
Meigs	6	60,066,375	0.7%	14.2%	\$ 5,366
Monroe	5	3,010,192	0.0%	3.5%	\$ 76
Montgomery	37	82,285,262	1.0%	92.6%	\$ 609
Morgan	6	2,347,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 117
Obion	16	6,368,000	0.1%	7.9%	\$ 197
Overton	10	13,574,034	0.2%	64.5%	\$ 672
Perry	3	10,292,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 1,372
Pickett	6	5,433,000	0.1%	15.6%	\$ 1,076
Polk	3	280,500,000	3.5%	0.0%	\$ 17,287
Putnam	25	153,937,679	1.9%	98.2%	\$ 2,436
Rhea	5	1,888,700	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 66
Roane	19	29,628,473	0.4%	0.7%	\$ 569
Robertson	12	110,185,000	1.4%	2.7%	\$ 1,965
Rutherford	55	193,208,353	2.4%	65.8%	\$ 1,016
Scott	5	5,065,283	0.1%	79.0%	\$ 235
Sequatchie	4	50,825,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 4,375
Sevier	33	233,930,505	2.9%	9.1%	\$ 3,174
Shelby	223	1,519,729,989	18.8%	87.7%	\$ 1,696
Smith	13	35,330,000	0.4%	87.7%	\$ 1,964
Stewart	5	61,950,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 4,897
Sullivan	65	111,744,491	1.4%	81.9%	\$ 731
Sumner	48	303,019,428	3.7%	0.0%	\$ 2,256
Tipton	22	5,083,600	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 96
Trousdale	1	3,200,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 436
Unicoi	11	21,295,460	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 1,202
Union	6	15,032,000	0.2%	1.7%	\$ 816
Van Buren	5	11,945,000	0.1%	89.6%	\$ 2,181
Warren	19	64,930,100	0.8%	85.5%	\$ 1,684
Washington	23	70,278,060	0.9%	86.8%	\$ 648
Wayne	6	8,822,736	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 524
Weakley	20	4,279,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 124
White	8	11,349,000	0.1%	95.2%	\$ 486
Williamson	67	386,684,379	4.8%	45.7%	\$ 2,889
Wilson	27	345,314,325	4.3%	17.8%	\$ 3,766
Regional	5	3,525,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,831</b>	<b>\$ 8,091,867,520</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>54.1%</b>	<b>\$ 1,422</b>

\* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

\*\*Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and by Stage of Development***Number and Estimated Cost--Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007\**

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number	Cost [in millions]			Number	Cost [in millions]			Number	Cost [in millions]		
Anderson	9	60.0%	\$ 2.9	19.2%	1	6.7%	\$ 0.6	3.9%	5	33.3%	\$ 11.7	76.8%
Bedford	7	50.0%	46.0	88.2%	7	50.0%	6.1	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bledsoe	2	50.0%	14.0	48.1%	2	50.0%	15.1	51.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Blount	25	59.5%	38.7	77.9%	14	33.3%	10.2	20.5%	3	7.1%	0.8	1.6%
Bradley	3	7.7%	5.0	6.2%	34	87.2%	72.3	89.7%	2	5.1%	3.3	4.1%
Campbell	4	30.8%	10.6	36.5%	7	53.8%	16.3	56.2%	2	15.4%	2.1	7.3%
Cannon	2	22.2%	1.3	31.1%	4	44.4%	0.5	10.9%	3	33.3%	2.4	58.0%
Carroll	16	94.1%	6.1	93.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	0.4	6.2%
Carter	4	19.0%	1.3	3.1%	13	61.9%	37.9	94.5%	4	19.0%	1.0	2.4%
Cheatham	6	28.6%	47.1	56.4%	11	52.4%	27.5	33.0%	4	19.0%	8.8	10.6%
Chester	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%	20.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Claiborne	1	9.1%	0.3	0.6%	8	72.7%	28.5	66.5%	2	18.2%	14.1	32.9%
Clay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	30.0	81.0%	2	50.0%	7.1	19.0%
Cocke	21	100.0%	21.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Coffee	4	44.4%	3.3	6.4%	4	44.4%	3.8	7.3%	1	11.1%	45.0	86.3%
Crockett	6	100.0%	3.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cumberland	7	33.3%	7.0	5.6%	7	33.3%	89.3	71.7%	7	33.3%	28.2	22.7%
Davidson	26	18.3%	192.3	26.3%	68	47.9%	248.2	33.9%	48	33.8%	291.6	39.8%
Decatur	1	25.0%	0.1	0.6%	3	75.0%	15.9	99.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DeKalb	1	16.7%	6.5	7.1%	1	16.7%	25.0	27.6%	4	66.7%	59.3	65.3%
Dickson	17	70.8%	302.7	98.9%	6	25.0%	3.0	1.0%	1	4.2%	0.3	0.1%
Dyer	5	71.4%	2.2	94.0%	1	14.3%	0.1	2.1%	1	14.3%	0.1	3.9%
Fayette	19	95.0%	11.2	88.2%	1	5.0%	1.5	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fentress	4	66.7%	16.6	39.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	26.0	61.0%
Franklin	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	2.8	86.0%	2	50.0%	0.5	14.0%
Gibson	15	68.2%	10.6	15.1%	4	18.2%	58.1	83.1%	3	13.6%	1.3	1.8%
Giles	3	30.0%	1.3	8.8%	7	70.0%	13.4	91.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grainger	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%
Greene	2	14.3%	2.5	9.9%	10	71.4%	6.3	25.1%	2	14.3%	16.3	65.0%
Grundy	2	40.0%	1.5	51.8%	2	40.0%	1.3	45.7%	1	20.0%	0.1	2.4%
Hamblen	2	22.2%	2.4	12.4%	6	66.7%	16.2	83.0%	1	11.1%	0.9	4.6%
Hamilton	18	19.8%	74.3	22.8%	69	75.8%	216.2	66.5%	4	4.4%	34.8	10.7%
Hancock	3	37.5%	0.6	15.7%	5	62.5%	3.0	84.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hardeman	14	50.0%	34.3	51.6%	13	46.4%	32.0	48.3%	1	3.6%	0.1	0.2%
Hardin	3	33.3%	1.2	1.3%	6	66.7%	87.3	98.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table D-2b. (continued)

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number	Cost [in millions]			Number	Cost [in millions]			Number	Cost [in millions]		
Hawkins	10	43.5%	18.1	48.9%	10	43.5%	10.0	27.1%	3	13.0%	8.9	24.0%
Haywood	3	42.9%	15.7	41.4%	3	42.9%	19.0	50.2%	1	14.3%	3.2	8.5%
Henderson	6	30.0%	3.1	3.3%	9	45.0%	64.5	69.1%	5	25.0%	25.7	27.6%
Henry	7	87.5%	4.4	98.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0.1	1.3%
Hickman	3	75.0%	121.1	98.5%	1	25.0%	1.8	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Houston	4	66.7%	48.0	99.4%	2	33.3%	0.3	0.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Humphreys	6	42.9%	53.7	64.1%	8	57.1%	30.1	35.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	10	83.3%	0.9	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	90.5	99.0%
Jefferson	5	71.4%	8.6	45.3%	2	28.6%	10.4	54.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnson	3	50.0%	2.6	69.0%	3	50.0%	1.2	31.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Knox	28	53.8%	65.9	46.5%	10	19.2%	31.2	22.0%	14	26.9%	44.6	31.5%
Lauderdale	5	100.0%	1.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lawrence	6	42.9%	7.2	43.2%	5	35.7%	5.9	35.4%	3	21.4%	3.6	21.4%
Lewis	2	66.7%	2.3	93.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.2	6.3%
Lincoln	2	25.0%	1.7	33.6%	4	50.0%	2.1	41.9%	2	25.0%	1.2	24.5%
Loudon	3	33.3%	1.8	9.5%	3	33.3%	0.7	3.8%	3	33.3%	16.9	86.8%
McMinn	11	61.1%	116.2	72.4%	6	33.3%	43.9	27.4%	1	5.6%	0.4	0.3%
McNairy	11	50.0%	4.4	4.3%	4	18.2%	2.2	2.2%	7	31.8%	96.5	93.6%
Macon	6	60.0%	3.9	10.4%	1	10.0%	25.0	66.9%	3	30.0%	8.5	22.7%
Madison	16	40.0%	186.3	70.2%	17	42.5%	56.9	21.4%	7	17.5%	22.2	8.4%
Marion	3	30.0%	0.4	1.4%	6	60.0%	14.1	47.7%	1	10.0%	15.0	50.9%
Marshall	1	20.0%	0.2	2.9%	3	60.0%	4.0	53.8%	1	20.0%	3.2	43.3%
Maury	3	20.0%	5.3	29.8%	8	53.3%	11.5	64.5%	4	26.7%	1.0	5.7%
Meigs	1	16.7%	8.5	14.2%	4	66.7%	11.6	19.3%	1	16.7%	40.0	66.6%
Monroe	4	80.0%	0.5	16.9%	1	20.0%	2.5	83.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Montgomery	8	21.6%	19.1	23.2%	10	27.0%	34.3	41.6%	19	51.4%	28.9	35.1%
Morgan	6	100.0%	2.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Obion	14	87.5%	5.6	87.6%	2	12.5%	0.8	12.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Overton	7	70.0%	4.1	30.0%	2	20.0%	2.5	18.4%	1	10.0%	7.0	51.6%
Perry	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	5.9	57.4%	1	33.3%	4.4	42.6%
Pickett	4	66.7%	1.3	24.5%	1	16.7%	0.1	1.8%	1	16.7%	4.0	73.6%
Polk	1	33.3%	280.0	99.8%	2	66.7%	0.5	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	17	68.0%	99.2	64.4%	3	12.0%	18.2	11.8%	5	20.0%	36.5	23.7%
Rhea	1	20.0%	0.4	18.6%	4	80.0%	1.5	81.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roane	11	57.9%	23.7	80.0%	7	36.8%	5.3	17.8%	1	5.3%	0.7	2.2%
Robertson	9	75.0%	106.9	97.0%	2	16.7%	0.8	0.7%	1	8.3%	2.5	2.3%

Table D-2b. (continued)

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Rutherford	15	27.3%	39.3	20.4%	25	45.5%	113.1	58.6%	15	27.3%	40.7	21.1%
Scott	2	40.0%	0.8	16.4%	1	20.0%	0.1	1.4%	2	40.0%	4.2	82.1%
Sequatchie	1	25.0%	0.3	0.6%	2	50.0%	0.5	1.0%	1	25.0%	50.0	98.4%
Sevier	19	57.6%	186.0	79.5%	10	30.3%	19.5	8.3%	4	12.1%	28.5	12.2%
Shelby	37	16.6%	181.3	11.9%	133	59.6%	865.9	57.0%	53	23.8%	472.5	31.1%
Smith	10	76.9%	9.8	27.8%	1	7.7%	5.5	15.6%	2	15.4%	20.0	56.6%
Stewart	2	40.0%	48.9	78.9%	2	40.0%	13.0	21.0%	1	20.0%	0.1	0.1%
Sullivan	22	33.8%	15.3	13.6%	36	55.4%	85.0	76.0%	7	10.8%	11.5	10.3%
Sumner	23	47.9%	184.7	61.0%	17	35.4%	107.3	35.4%	8	16.7%	11.0	3.6%
Tipton	22	100.0%	5.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Trousdale	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unicoi	5	45.5%	5.5	25.8%	5	45.5%	15.3	71.8%	1	9.1%	0.5	2.3%
Union	3	50.0%	14.7	97.7%	3	50.0%	0.4	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Van Buren	3	60.0%	1.6	13.8%	2	40.0%	10.3	86.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Warren	12	63.2%	11.4	17.6%	4	21.1%	30.5	47.0%	3	15.8%	23.0	35.4%
Washington	7	30.4%	55.9	79.5%	14	60.9%	13.7	19.5%	2	8.7%	0.7	1.1%
Wayne	3	50.0%	8.5	96.2%	1	16.7%	0.2	2.2%	2	33.3%	0.1	1.6%
Weakley	20	100.0%	4.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White	5	62.5%	1.0	9.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	10.3	90.8%
Williamson	30	44.8%	244.9	63.3%	19	28.4%	71.9	18.6%	18	26.9%	69.8	18.1%
Wilson	14	51.9%	221.2	64.1%	5	18.5%	39.0	11.3%	8	29.6%	85.1	24.6%
Regional	5	100.0%	3.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>40.9%</b>	<b>\$3,337.4</b>	<b>41.2%</b>	<b>756</b>	<b>41.3%</b>	<b>\$2,901.9</b>	<b>35.9%</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>\$1,852.6</b>	<b>22.9%</b>

\* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-3a. Other Utility Projects by County**  
*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\**  
*—Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007\*\**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	4	\$ 5,139,760	0.8%	96.1%	\$ 72
Bedford	2	3,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 78
Benton	1	817,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 49
Bledsoe	2	5,200,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 415
Blount	8	16,300,000	2.6%	100.0%	\$ 151
Chester	1	200,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 13
Cocke	8	8,557,000	1.4%	100.0%	\$ 253
Davidson	1	430,305,000	69.5%	100.0%	\$ 761
Fayette	2	2,300,000	0.4%	47.8%	\$ 75
Franklin	1	1,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 25
Greene	3	975,000	0.2%	89.7%	\$ 15
Hawkins	3	1,535,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 28
Henderson	1	1,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 39
Jackson	1	750,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 67
Lauderdale	1	3,500,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 130
Lawrence	3	2,374,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 59
Lincoln	1	3,500,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 111
Loudon	4	5,100,000	0.8%	29.4%	\$ 127
McNairy	2	1,200,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 49
Meigs	1	250,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 22
Montgomery	8	19,850,000	3.2%	100.0%	\$ 147
Putnam	1	1,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 16
Roane	4	2,895,000	0.5%	96.5%	\$ 56
Robertson	4	3,478,900	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 62
Rutherford	3	2,001,692	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 11
Sevier	2	39,298,000	6.3%	100.0%	\$ 533
Shelby	1	700,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 1
Stewart	1	2,000,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 158
Sumner	2	585,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 4
Unicoi	3	1,300,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 73
Washington	3	51,388,000	8.3%	2.7%	\$ 474
Wayne	2	550,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 33
Wilson	1	1,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 11
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>\$ 619,049,352</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>87.4%</b>	<b>\$ 109</b>

\* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

\*\*Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.



**Table D-3b. Other Utility Projects by County and by Stage of Development***Number and Estimated Cost--Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007\**

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	0	0.0%	\$ 0	0.0%	3	75.0%	\$ 3.4	66.9%	1	25.0%	\$ 1.7	33.1%
Bedford	1	50.0%	1.5	50.0%	1	50.0%	1.5	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Benton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%
Bledsoe	1	50.0%	0.2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	5.0	96.2%
Blount	3	37.5%	10.4	63.8%	1	12.5%	2.0	12.3%	4	50.0%	3.9	23.9%
Chester	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cocke	4	50.0%	4.2	49.2%	3	37.5%	3.1	36.8%	1	12.5%	1.2	14.0%
Davidson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	430.3	100.0%
Fayette	1	50.0%	1.2	52.2%	1	50.0%	1.1	47.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Franklin	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greene	1	33.3%	0.1	10.3%	2	66.7%	0.9	89.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hawkins	1	33.3%	0.1	5.5%	1	33.3%	0.8	48.9%	1	33.3%	0.7	45.6%
Henderson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%
Jackson	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lauderdale	1	100.0%	3.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lawrence	1	33.3%	0.1	3.3%	1	33.3%	1.0	44.0%	1	33.3%	1.3	52.7%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Loudon	3	75.0%	3.3	64.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	1.8	35.3%
McNairy	1	50.0%	0.2	16.7%	1	50.0%	1.0	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Meigs	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Montgomery	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%	19.9	100.0%
Putnam	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%
Roane	2	50.0%	0.9	29.4%	2	50.0%	2.0	70.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Robertson	1	25.0%	1.3	37.4%	1	25.0%	0.4	10.8%	2	50.0%	1.8	51.9%
Rutherford	3	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sevier	1	50.0%	1.5	3.8%	1	50.0%	37.8	96.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shelby	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stewart	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sumner	2	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unicoi	3	100.0%	1.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	1	33.3%	50.0	97.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1.4	2.7%
Wayne	1	50.0%	0.3	45.5%	1	50.0%	0.3	54.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wilson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>43.5%</b>	<b>\$ 86.8</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>\$ 59.6</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31.8%</b>	<b>\$ 472.7</b>	<b>76.4%</b>

\* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.



**Table D-4a. Navigation Projects by County**  
*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\**  
*—Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007\*\**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Decatur	1	\$ 4,000,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 342
Hamilton	1	300,000,000	87.4%	100.0%	\$ 976
Marion	1	175,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 6
Shelby	1	38,929,977	11.3%	100.0%	\$ 43
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$ 343,104,977</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>98.8%</b>	<b>\$ 60</b>

\* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

\*\*Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-4b. Navigation Projects by County and by Stage of Development**  
*Number and Estimated Cost--Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007\**

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number	Cost [in millions]			Number	Cost [in millions]			Number	Cost [in millions]		
Decatur	1	100.0%	\$ 4.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0	0.0%
Hamilton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	300.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shelby	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	38.9	100.0%
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>\$ 4.2</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>\$ 300.0</b>	<b>87.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>\$ 38.9</b>	<b>11.3%</b>

\* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-5a. Telecommunications Projects by County***Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\***—Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007\*\**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Cannon	2	200,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 15
Carter	1	750,000	3.9%	100.0%	\$ 13
Chester	1	100,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 6
Cumberland	2	500,000	2.6%	100.0%	\$ 10
Davidson	4	3,790,000	19.6%	100.0%	\$ 7
Dyer	1	500,000	2.6%	0.0%	\$ 13
Fentress	2	800,000	4.1%	100.0%	\$ 48
Hamblen	1	1,500,000	7.8%	100.0%	\$ 26
Hardeman	1	750,000	3.9%	100.0%	\$ 26
Haywood	1	140,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 7
McNairy	1	66,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 3
Macon	1	300,000	1.6%	100.0%	\$ 14
Pickett	1	600,000	3.1%	100.0%	\$ 119
Putnam	4	5,700,000	29.5%	100.0%	\$ 90
Shelby	3	898,675	4.6%	100.0%	\$ 1
Smith	4	800,000	4.1%	100.0%	\$ 44
Sullivan	1	185,000	1.0%	100.0%	\$ 1
Warren	4	1,100,000	5.7%	100.0%	\$ 29
Washington	1	160,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 1
White	2	500,000	2.6%	100.0%	\$ 21
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>\$ 19,339,675</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>94.8%</b>	<b>\$ 3</b>

\* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

\*\*Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-5b. Telecommunications Projects by County and by Stage of Development***Number and Estimated Cost--Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007\**

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Cannon	1	50.0%	\$ 0.1	50.0%	1	50.0%	\$ 0.1	50.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0	0.0%
Carter	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chester	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cumberland	2	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Davidson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	2.5	66.0%	2	50.0%	1.3	34.0%
Dyer	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fentress	1	50.0%	0.5	62.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.3	37.5%
Hamblen	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hardeman	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haywood	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McNairy	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Macon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%
Pickett	1	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	3	75.0%	5.3	93.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.4	7.0%
Shelby	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.4	48.3%	2	66.7%	0.5	51.7%
Smith	3	75.0%	0.6	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.2	25.0%
Sullivan	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Warren	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0.9	77.3%	1	25.0%	0.3	22.7%
Washington	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White	1	50.0%	0.2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.3	60.0%
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>\$ 10.2</b>	<b>53.0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>\$ 5.6</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>\$ 3.5</b>	<b>18.1%</b>

\* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.